USC-96/23
hep-th/9610076

arXiv:hep-th/9610076v1 10 Oct 1996

Superconformal Fixed Points with E_n Global Symmetry

Joseph A. Minahan[1] and Dennis Nemeschansky[2]

Department of Physics and Astronomy
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-0484

Abstract

We obtain the elliptic curve and the Seiberg-Witten differential for an $N = 2$ superconformal field theory which has an E_8 global symmetry at the strong coupling point $\tau = e^{\pi i/3}$. The differential has 120 poles corresponding to half the charged states in the fundamental representation of E_8, with the other half living on the other sheet. Using this theory, we flow down to E_7, E_6 and D_4. A new feature is a λ_{SW} for these theories based on their adjoint representations. We argue that these theories have different physics than those with λ_{SW} built from the fundamental representations.

10/96

---

[1] minahan@physics.usc.edu
[2] dennisn@physics.usc.edu

1. Introduction

Four dimensional $N = 2$ superconformal theories with an unbroken $U(1)$ gauge group are in one to one correspondence with Kodaira's classification of toroidal singularities. There are 7 strong coupling conformal points, which have a global symmetry that is either A_0, A_1 or A_2, E_6, E_7 or E_8, or D_4.

The D_4 case is of course the celebrated Seiberg-Witten result for $SU(2)$ Super QCD with four hypermultiplets in the fundamental representation. The A_0, A_1 and A_2 cases can be derived from D_4 by taking appropriate limits for the masses in the theory.

The D_4 theory has associated with it an elliptic curve and a differential λ_{SW}. The deformations of the curve are determined from the bare masses in the theory as well as the bare coupling. A crucial property of λ_{SW} is that it has poles in which the residues are linear combinations of the bare masses. Furthermore, it was shown in [1] that this is sufficient to completely determine the curve.

Of course, the E_N theories cannot be derived from the D_4 case. However, one can assume the existance of λ_{SW} for each of these theories, with the property that the residues of λ_{SW} are linear combinations of N mass parameters that determine the deformations of the elliptic curve. In [2], it was shown that this is enough to completely determine the curve for E_6. In this paper, we extend this analysis to the cases of E_8 and E_7.

We will also find an interesting surprise, namely, there exists other superconformal theories for E_6 and D_4 as well as E_7. We find that one can construct a Seiberg Witten differential based on the adjoints of these groups. As it happens, the elliptic curve for the adjoint case is the same as the fundamental. But since λ_{SW} is different, the monodromies are different and hence the content of the physical states are different. Unlike a gauge symmetry, a global symmetry is a real symmetry of observable particles, and one can determine what representation these particles live in. A λ_{SW} constructed from the adjoint representation will necessarily lead to physical states living in the adjoint representation. This also has another interesting consequence for D_4, the adjoint case is invariant under $SL(2, Z)$, and not just a semi-direct product of $SL(2, Z)$ with $SO(8)$ triality.

The surfaces that we describe are elliptic fibrations of del Pezzo surfaces. Such surfaces have appeared in the context of string theory[3,4,5] as well as in the study of 5 dimensional gauge theory[6,8,9]. It is hoped that the results presented here will be useful for F-theory considerations[10,11,12,13], such as the calculations of BPS masses.

In section 2 we discuss how one finds a set of rational curves for the case of E_8. In section 3 we discuss the derivation of the E_8 curve and compute λ_{SW}. In section 4 we discuss the flows to the E_7, E_6 and D_4 theories. In section 5 we present our conclusions. Most of the results are contained in the four appendices.

2. Lines, Parabolas and Perfect Squares

Consider the elliptic curves with an E_n singularity

$$\begin{aligned} E_6: &\qquad y^2 = x^3 - \rho^4 \\ E_7: &\qquad y^2 = x^3 - 2\rho^3 x \\ E_8: &\qquad y^2 = x^3 - 2\rho^5, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where we have chosen the factors of two for later convenience. These curves describe del Pezzo surfaces. These curves have relevant deformations, the number of which can be easily found by comparing the dimensions of x, y and ρ. This number is n, the rank of the group. It is convenient to express these deformations in terms of the $SO(16)$ subgroup for E_8, $SO(12) \times SU(2)$ for E_7 and $SO(10) \times U(1)$ for E_6. Hence, for each of these cases, we have n mass parameters that live in the Cartan subalgebra of these subroups.

We also assume that there exists a Seiberg-Witten differential λ_{SW} for each of these theories, which satisfies

$$\frac{d\lambda_{SW}}{d\rho} \sim \frac{dx}{y} \tag{2.2}$$

and which is allowed to have poles in the x plane whose residues are linear combinations of the masses discussed in the previous paragraph. In order for this to happen, it must be true that at the positions of the poles, y^2 is a perfect square in terms of ρ and the mass parameters.

In [1] it was shown that such poles can appear in the D_4 case at the positions

$$x = \beta\rho + \theta \tag{2.3}$$

where β is a dimensionless quantity that depends on the bare coupling and θ depends on the mass parameters. The Seiberg-Witten differential will have four such poles on each sheet, and one is free to choose a vector, spinor or spinor bar representation for these poles.

In [2] it was shown that in the E_6 case, the positions of the poles also satisfy (2.3), except in this case, β is proportional to the residue for the particular pole. There are 27 such poles, in one to one correspondence with the dimension of the representation.

As it turns out, the E_7 case also has poles described by (2.3). But an inspection of (2.1) shows that the poles for the E_8 case cannot have this form, since the curve has a ρ^5 piece and hence the leading term in ρ would have an odd power if x is linear in ρ. Obviously, y^2 cannot be a perfect square in this situation. Hence if a Seiberg-Witten differential is to exist, the poles would have to at least have the form

$$x = \gamma\rho^2 + \beta\rho + \theta, \tag{2.4}$$

in which case y would be cubic in ρ.

There is another dilemma involving the poles for E_8, and it is related to the problem of the poles being given by parabolas and not lines in the $x - \rho$ plane. The fundamental representation for E_8 is its adjoint. Accordingly, if some mass parameters are taken to infinity, the curve should flow to an E_7 curve. The E_8 adjoint representation then flows to representations of the E_7 subgroup, which is comprised of the adjoint and two fundamentals. Hence, if λ_{SW} exists for E_8, then there must also exist another λ_{SW} for E_7. This argument can be extended to E_6 and D_4, that is, each of these theories has an λ_{SW} with poles that transform in the adjoint representation for these groups. In all of these cases the poles are described by parabolas in the $x - \rho$ plane.

Since the dimension of λ_{SW} is assumed to be one, in all cases, the dimension of ρ^2/x is two, thus γ is dimension negative two. As it will turn out γ equals $8\pi^2/(Res)^2$, where Res is the residue for the pole.

We can use homogeneous variables and express the curves in (2.1) as curves in the projective space P^3. Hence, the rational curves in (2.4) are of degree 3 in P^3. It is well known that the E_6 curve describes a cubic in P^3, which is isomorphic to a P^2 with 6 points blown up[14]. A systematic counting of rational curves has been carried out for this case (with fixed moduli), where it was found that there are 72 distinct degree 3 curves (plus another 12 with arithmetic genus 1)[15]. These 72 curves transform under the E_6 Weyl group. We will see that 72 poles do appear when flowing to the E_6 case, with y a cubic in ρ, thus we see that the 72 poles that we have identified are precisely these curves. The 12 with arithmetic genus 1 are singlets under the Weyl group, and so their residues are zero.

For the E_7 and E_8 cases, the curves on the P^3 are isomorphic to del Pezzos constructed from P^2 with 7 and 8 points blown up. For the E_8 case, the degree 3 curve in P^3 maps to a curve of degree 1 on the del Pezzo, while for E_7, the degree 3 curve on P^3 maps to a degree 2 curve. This must be the case in order to match the counting of rational curves for these surfaces[14,4].

The space of curves with degree higher than 1 have moduli[15,4]. Hence the pole positions for the E_6 and E_7 adjoints seem to be a special choice. The case of generic points in the moduli space is an interesting question and will be considered elsewhere[16].

3. The E_8 Case

In this section we explain the derivation of the E_8 curve. All other theories considered in this paper flow from this one. Since E_8 has a maximal $SO(16)$ subgroup, we consider deformations described by eight mass parameters m_i. We define the $SO(16)$ invariants T_{2n} for $n = 1..7$, where

$$T_{2n} = \sum_{0<i_1<i_2..<i_n}^{8} m_{i_1}^2..m_{i_n}^2 \tag{3.1}$$

There is also the invariant t_8,

$$t_8 = \prod_{i=1}^{8} m_i. \tag{3.2}$$

The E_8 curve should be expressible in terms of these independent $SO(16)$ invariants.

Our method for deriving the curve is to turn on masses one by one, allowing for all possible terms in the curve consistent with r-symmetry, holomorphy and the remaining symmetries. This still leaves some ambiguity for the curve. However, the final terms can be nailed down by choosing y^2 to be a perfect square at the poles,

We choose x such that the curve is of the form

$$y^2 = x^3 - fx - g. \tag{3.3}$$

By turning on one mass, m_1, the symmetry of theory is broken to $SO(14)$. Therefore, we should find a D_7 singularity as ρ approaches zero. Such a singularity satisfies $g \sim \rho^3$, and $f \sim \rho^2$, and has a discriminant $\Delta = 4f^3 - 27g^2 \sim \rho^9$. Up to a rescaling of m_1, one finds

$$\begin{aligned} f &= m_1^2\rho^3 + \frac{m_1^8}{192}\rho^2 \\ g &= 2\rho^5 + \frac{m_1^6}{24}\rho^4 + \frac{m_1^{12}}{6912}\rho^3. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

We next assume the ansatz that there are poles at $x = \gamma\rho^2 + \beta\rho + \theta$. For the curve in (3.3) and (3.4), there are two solutions for x where y^2 is a perfect square, $x = -\rho^2/m_1^2 + \rho m_1^4/12$ and $x = -4\rho^2/m_1^2 - \rho m_1^4/24$. At these points, y^2 is given by $y^2 =$

$-((\rho^3 + 3\rho^2 m_1^6/8)/m_1^3)^2$ and $y^2 = -(8\rho^3/m1^3)^2$ respectively. The form of these solutions is quite suggestive since the E_8 adjoint has as an $SO(16)$ decomposition

$$\mathbf{248} = \mathbf{120} + \mathbf{132}. \tag{3.5}$$

Hence, if we assume that $\gamma = -\rho^2/h_\alpha^2$, where h_α is the charge under the Cartan subalgebra for a particular element of the representation, then we see that the first pole corresponds to an $SO(16)$ adjoint and the second pole corresponds to an $SO(16)$ spinor.

Therefore, to ease our search for the E_8 curve we will assume that the contribution of an element of the representation to λ_{SW} is proportional to

$$\frac{h_\alpha y_i}{x - x_\alpha}\frac{dx}{y} = \frac{\tilde{y}_\alpha}{h_\alpha^2 x - \tilde{x}_\alpha}\frac{dx}{y} \tag{3.6}$$

where $\tilde{y}_\alpha = h_\alpha^3 y_i$, and

$$\tilde{x}_\alpha = h_\alpha^2 x_\alpha = -\rho^2 + \tilde{\beta}_i \rho + \tilde{\theta}_i \tag{3.7}$$

and the terms $\tilde{x}_\alpha$ and $\tilde{y}_\alpha$ are polynomials in the masses and ρ.

Turning on another mass m_2 breaks the group down to $SO(12)$, in which case we should choose the coefficients such that $f \sim \rho^2$, $g \sim \rho^3$ and $\Delta \sim \rho^8$. This is not enough information to determine the terms in f and g and one must choose the coefficients such that y^2 is a perfect square along a rational curve in the $x - \rho$ plane. Assuming that the rational curve has the form in (3.7) is sufficient to determine the curve for nonzero m_1 and m_2. In fact, it is enough to only consider the pole with $h_\alpha = m_1 + m_2$ to find f and g. With this f and g, one then finds that the other poles corresponding to other elements of the representation are consistent.

One can keep on turning on masses until the generic deformation is obtained and the pole positions are determined. We won't actually prove here that the results presented below are the unique solutions to the ansatz in (3.7)[3].

The general idea for computing curves and pole positions is as follows. If x is chosen to be quadratic in ρ, then y^2 will be a sextic equation,

$$-y^2 = (h_\alpha)^{-6} \sum_{n=0}^{6} a_n \rho^n, \tag{3.8}$$

---

[3] The skeptical readers are invited to download a Mathematica file from http://www.usc.edu/∼minahan /Math/e8.ma and see for themselves that the rational curves lead to perfect squares in y^2 for this deformation of the E_8 singular curve.

where the a_n are polynomials in the m_i. In order that y^2 be a perfect square, a_0 and a_6 must be perfect squares of polynomials involving the m_i. The coefficient a_6 is always 1. The coefficient a_0 is more complicated. Requiring that it be a perfect square leads to a series of linear equations for the coefficients of the curve and for the pole positions. Once a_0 is found, then we look for an a_1 such that the square root of a_0 divides a_1. This then leads to more linear equations for the coefficients. Finally, we derive more linear equations by setting to zero the expression

$$\left(\rho^3 + \frac{1}{2}a_5\rho^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{a_1}{\sqrt{a_0}} + \sqrt{a_0}\right)^2 - \sum_{n=0}^{6} a_n\rho^n. \tag{3.9}$$

This turns out to be sufficient for determining the complete curve and the poles.

The final results for the E_8 curve are presented in the appendix A. It is convenient to express the curve in terms of a different $SO(16)$ invariant $\widetilde{T}_4 = T_2^2/4 - T_4$. This gets rid of most of the higher powers in T_2. By inspection, one sees that most of the generic terms in the curve actually have zero coefficient, which is a good thing, otherwise the expression for g alone would have 341 terms instead of the much more manageable 71 terms.

One still has the freedom to shift ρ, removing the ρ^4 term in g. After this shift, $\rho \to \rho - (T_2\widetilde{T}_4/6 + T_6)$, the coefficients of ρ in f and g we are left with are eight independent Casimirs of E_8 and hence form a natural basis for the entire set of E_8 casimirs.

We have also given the positions of the poles as well as the corresponding values for y in the appendix. For an $SO(16)$ adjoint pole, the pole position should be expressible in terms of two masses, m_i and m_j, and the casimirs for the unbroken $SO(12)$ orthogonal to i and j, W_n and W_6.

The residue of the spinor poles are given by $\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi i}\sum_i \pm m_i$, where the number of $-$ signs is even. The state with all $+$ signs has a residue that is proportional to the linear symmetric polynomial of the eight masses. Hence this pole position is expressible in terms of the symmetric polynomials s_n, where

$$s_n = \sum_{0<i_1..<i_n} m_{i_1}...m_{i_n} \tag{3.10}$$

In order to show that this pole leads to a perfect square, we need the relation

$$T_{2n} = s_n^2 + 2\sum_{m=1}^{n}(-1)^m s_{n-m}s_{n+m}, \tag{3.11}$$

where $s_0 = 1$ and $s_p = 0$ if $p > 8$. The poles for the rest of the spinor states can be found by changing an even number of the signs of m_i in the symmetric polynomials. Because the curve has t_8 dependence, there are no poles at the positions found by changing an odd number of the signs. Hence, only one spinor of $SO(16)$ appears, as is expected.

There are some checks that we can do for our curve. We can let all the masses satisfy $m_i = m$ and compute f and g. In this case, we find that

$$f \sim (\rho + 4m^6)^3 \qquad\qquad g \sim (\rho + 4m^6)^5. \tag{3.12}$$

This is the behavior for an E_7 singularity. A simple counting shows that this is sensible. The states with residues proportional to $m_i - m_j$ are massless, as are the spinor states with an equal number of plus and minus signs. There are 56 states of the former type and 70 of the latter, leaving 126 massless states, the number of charged states in the E_7 adjoint. Likewise, we can consider the case where $m_i = m,\ i < 8$ and $m_8 = -m$. In this case there is an A_7 singularity. There are still 56 massless states coming from the adjoint, but now, none of the spinor states are massless since an odd number of $-$ signs is not allowed. Hence the counting is consistent with the number of charged states in the adjoint of $SO(8)$.

Once we have the pole positions and the value of y^2 at these poles we can sum these contributions to the Seiberg-Witten differential. As we have already mentioned, if the masses are such that a residue is zero, because of the form of the sum, the corresponding term can still contribute to λ_{SW}. However, an interesting feature occurs in this situation. By inspection of eqs. (A.3-A.7), one sees that if the residue is zero then $\tilde{x}_\alpha$ divides $\tilde{y}_\alpha$, leaving a term that is linear in ρ in front of dx/y. Furthermore, the coefficient of ρ is the same for any state. It is also true that only the charged states are summed over, so it is unlikely that the sum of the poles is the complete Seiberg-Witten differential. However, the new piece should be invariant under the E_8 Weyl group and should be at most linear in ρ.

Let us thus assume that λ_{SW} is given by

$$\lambda_{SW} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi}(A\rho + BT_2^3 + CT_2T_4 + DT_6)\frac{dx}{y} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi i}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{120}\frac{\tilde{y}_\alpha}{h_\alpha^2 x - \tilde{x}_\alpha}\frac{dx}{y} \tag{3.13}$$

where the sum is over half of the 240 charged states of the representation and $h_\alpha/(2\sqrt{2}\pi i)$ is the residue of the state, normalized such that an $SO(16)$ adjoint state has $h_\alpha = \pm m_i \pm m_j$

and the spinor state has $h_\alpha = \sum_i \pm m_i/2$, where the number of $-$ signs is even. The factor in front of the sum is chosen to match the normalization in [1]. The coefficients A, B and C are found by fixing

$$\frac{d\lambda_{SW}}{d\rho} = \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}\pi}\frac{dx}{y}, \tag{3.14}$$

up to a total derivative, where k is to be determined. Given this form the coefficients in λ_{SW} can be derived by considering special values for the m_i. In particular, letting $m_1 = m, m_i = 0, i > 1$ determines A and k, $m_1 = m_2 = m, m_i = 0\ i > 2$ determines B and $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = m$ determines C. We find that $k = 30$, the Coxeter number for E_8 (half the index of the adjoint representation), and that λ_{SW} is

$$\lambda_{SW} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi}(60\rho - T_2T_4 + 6T_6)\frac{dx}{y} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi i}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{120}\frac{\tilde{y}_\alpha}{h_\alpha^2 x - \tilde{x}_\alpha}\frac{dx}{y}. \tag{3.15}$$

Note that the piece without the poles is

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi}\left(60\tilde{\rho} - \frac{1}{4}T_2^3\right)\frac{dx}{y}$$

where $\tilde{\rho}$ is the value of ρ after shifting to remove the ρ^4 term in g. T_2 is invariant under the E_8 Weyl group, therefore, the entire term is invariant.

4. Flowing to the Other Cases

We can investigate the E_7 theory by taking two of the E_8 masses to infinity. Accordingly, let $m_7 = \Lambda - \phi/2$ and $m_8 = \Lambda + \phi/2$. These variables are the natural variables for the E_7 subgroup $SO(12) \times SU(2)$. We also rescale x, y and ρ by $x \to \Lambda^4\rho$, $y \to \Lambda^6 y$ and $\rho \to \Lambda^2\rho$. Plugging these new values into (A.1) and (A.2) and keeping the terms to leading order in Λ gives the E_7 curve. The values of f and g are given in (B.1) and (B.2),where now the terms in the curve are given in terms of D_6 invariants. It is convenient to replace T_2 by $\widetilde{T}_2 = T_2 - \phi^2$. In order to express the curve explicitly in terms of E_7 invariants, it is necessary to shift ρ by $(\widetilde{T}_2)^2/72 + T_4/6$, which removes the ρ^2 term in f_{E_7}.

The positions of the poles are also found by using these same scaling arguments. However, since the adjoint of E_8 decomposes under its $E_7 \times SU(2)$ subgroup to

$$\mathbf{248} = (\mathbf{133}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}) + (\mathbf{56}, \mathbf{2}) \tag{4.1}$$

some of these poles will flow to E_7 adjoints and others will flow to E_7 fundamentals. In fact, it is easy to check that for the fundamentals, the ρ^2 piece scales out of x_α, leaving a linear relation between x and ρ.

It should be possible to find a self-consistent λ_{SW} for each representation. Clearly, the coefficient k in (3.13) should split into a contribution of one E_7 adjoint and two fundamentals. Since k is the Coxeter number, this picture is consistent with k splitting into 18, the E_7 coxeter number, and two values of 6, half the index of the fundamental representation.

For the adjoint case, the λ_{SW} is of the form

$$\lambda_{SW} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi}(A\rho + BT_2^2 + C\phi^2 T_2 + D\phi^4 + ET_4)\frac{dx}{y} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi i}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{63}\frac{\tilde{y}_\alpha}{h_\alpha^2 x - \tilde{x}_\alpha}\frac{dx}{y} \tag{4.2}$$

where the sum is over half of the 126 charged states of the representation. The values for $\tilde{x}_\alpha$ and $\tilde{y}_\alpha$ are found in the appendix. In terms of the $SO(12)\times SU(2)$ subgroup, the residues of the poles are of the form $(\pm m_i \pm m_j)/(2\sqrt{2}\pi i)$, $\pm\phi/(2\sqrt{2}\pi i)$ or $\sum_j(\pm m_j \pm \phi/2)/(2\sqrt{2}\pi i)$, with an odd number of $-$ signs in front of the m_i for the $SO(12)$ spinor. As in the E_8 case, the coefficients A,B, C, D, and E are chosen so that $d\lambda_{SW}/d\rho \sim dx/y$. Again, one can find these coefficients by choosing special values for the masses. The final result in this case is that

$$\lambda_{SW} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi}\left(9\rho + \frac{1}{8}(\widetilde{T}_2)^2 + \frac{3}{2}T_4\right)\frac{dx}{y} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi i}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{63}\frac{\tilde{y}_\alpha}{h_\alpha^2 x - \tilde{x}_\alpha}\frac{dx}{y}, \tag{4.3}$$

and with $k = 18$, the Coxeter number for E_7. The residues for these poles are $(\pm m_i \pm \phi/2)/(2\sqrt{2}\pi i)$ or $\sum_j \pm m_i/(4\sqrt{2}\pi i)$ with an even number of $-$ signs for the spinors. The term without the poles is clearly proportional to the shifted value of ρ, and hence this term is clearly an E_7 invariant.

For the fundamental case, the positions of the poles are now linear in ρ since the quadratic piece scaled out for these particular states. One can do an analysis similar to the adjoint case, with the result

$$\lambda_{SW} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi}\left(24\rho + \frac{1}{3}(\widetilde{T}_2)^2 + \frac{3}{2}T_4 - 3\left(\frac{2}{3}T_2 + \phi\right)^2\right)\frac{dx}{y} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi i}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{28}\frac{h_\alpha y_\alpha}{x - x_\alpha}\frac{dx}{y}, \tag{4.4}$$

where the sum is over half the 56 states. The value of k is found to be $k = 6$. The term without poles in (4.4) is comprised of a shifted ρ, plus a piece that is proportional to the square of the E_7 casimir of weight 2, hence the entire term is an E_7 invariant.

A natural way to flow from the E_7 theory to the E_6 curve is under the scaling $m_6 = \Lambda$, $\phi = \Lambda - 6\lambda$, $x \to x\Lambda^2$, $y \to y\Lambda^3$, and $\rho \to \rho\Lambda$. The masses m_i and λ are the natural variables of the $SO(10) \times U(1)$ subgroup of E_6. Keeping only the leading orders in Λ gives the values of f and g in (C.1) and (C.2).

For the adjoint case, the Seiberg Witten differential is given by

$$\lambda_{SW} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi i} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{36} \frac{\tilde{y}_\alpha}{h_\alpha^2 x - \tilde{x}_\alpha} \frac{dx}{y}, \tag{4.5}$$

where the sum is over half of the charged states in the representation. The value for k is found to be $k = 12$, the E_6 Coxeter number. The residues of these states are $(\pm m_i \pm m_j)/(2\sqrt{2}\pi i)$, and the spinors $\sum_j (\pm m_i/2 \pm 3\lambda)/(2\sqrt{2}\pi i)$, with an odd number of $-$ signs for $+3\lambda$ and an even number otherwise. Curiously, the sum over the poles is the complete differential. Unlike the E_8 and E_7 case, there is no extra piece linear in ρ.

The E_6 fundamental λ_{SW} was given in [2] and was found to be

$$\lambda_{SW} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} \left(18\rho + 18\lambda T_2 - 72\lambda^3\right) \frac{dx}{y} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi i} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{27} \frac{h_\alpha y_\alpha}{x - x_\alpha} \frac{dx}{y}, \tag{4.6}$$

where the sum is over the 27 charged states of the E_6 fundamental. Since this is a complex representation, the residues on the other sheet are part of the conjugate representation. Because of this, $k = 6$, which is the index for the fundamental representation instead of half the index. The residues are of the form $+4\lambda/(2\sqrt{2}\pi i)$, $(\pm m_i - 2\lambda)/(2\sqrt{2}\pi i)$ or $\sum_j (\pm m_j/2 + \lambda)/(2\sqrt{2}\pi i)$, where the number of $-$ signs is even.

Finally, we come to the D_4 case. This flow was discussed in [2]. The appropriate scaling is to let $x \to x\Lambda^2$, $y \to y\Lambda^3$ and to set $\rho = u\Lambda$, $\lambda = c_1\Lambda/6$ and $m_5 = -c_2\Lambda$, where c_1 and c_2 are the combination of theta functions defined in [1],

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\vartheta_3^4(\tau) + \vartheta_2^4(\tau)\right) \qquad c_2 = \frac{1}{2}\vartheta_1^4(\tau). \tag{4.7}$$

Keeping only the leading powers in Λ, f and g reduce to the expressions in (D.1) and (D.2). The adjoint pole positions and values for y at the poles are given in (D.3) and (D.4).

The Seiberg Witten differential for the adjoint representation is similar in form to the E_6 expression, with λ_{SW} given by

$$\lambda_{SW} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi i} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{12} \frac{\tilde{y}_\alpha}{h_\alpha^2 x - \tilde{x}_\alpha} \frac{dx}{y}, \tag{4.8}$$

where the sum is over half of the 24 charged states in the representation. We also find that $k = 6$, the $SO(8)$ coxeter number. As in the case for E_6, the sum over poles is the entire λ_{SW}, there is no extra holomorphic piece.

5. Discussion

In this paper we have constructed superconformal theories with E_n global symmetries. We have also constructed Seiberg-Witten differentials based on the adjoints of these groups, as well as λ_{SW} for an $SO(8)$ global symmetry.

The natural question arises whether or not the theories are equivalent to theories where the Seiberg-Witten differential is constructed from the fundamental representation of these groups. At first one might think that they are equivalent since the elliptic curves are the same. So one immediately concludes that the coupling is the same if all parameters are the same. However, the masses of the BPS states are found from λ_{SW} and here it seems that there could be differences. For instance, consider the $SO(8)$ case with all m_i set to 0. Then λ_{SW} looks identical for the vector, spinor or adjoint rep. However, the adjoint λ_{SW} has a different normalization, so it would seem that all BPS states that one finds are 6 times heavier than those in the theory with a vector λ_{SW}, since $k = 6$ for the adjoint and $k = 1$ for the vector.

If we tried to divide by this factor of 6 to set the masses equal, then another problem arises when we turn on the m_i. Then we find that there are monodromies such that the coordinates a or a_D shift by $(\pm m_i \pm m_j)/(6\sqrt{2})$. No such shifts are possible for the vector λ_{SW}. So we must conclude that the theories are different.

Still, the behavior is surprising for D_4. The standard lore is that for each pole in λ_{SW}, there is an electric state with charge 1. Hence the electric states are transforming under the adjoint of $SO(8)$. But by triality, the magnetic and dyonic states also transform under the adjoint representation. We still find that the electric coupling runs to zero in the same fashion as in [1], even though the electric states are different. The resolution of this paradox must be that the monopoles and dyons somehow contribute to the β-function.

Acknowledgements: We thank Nick Warner for many helpful conversations. This research was supported in part by D.O.E. grant DE-FG03-84ER-40168.

Appendix A. E_8 results

For the curve of the form $y^2 = x^3 - fx - g$, the curve with E_8 symmetry has f and g given by

$$\begin{aligned}
f =& \rho^3 T_2 + \rho^2 \left(14\, t_8 + \frac{(\widetilde{T}_4)^2}{12} + T_8 \right) + \rho \left(8\, T_{14} - T_{12}\, T_2 - \frac{2T_{10}\, \widetilde{T}_4}{3} + \frac{11\, t_8\, T_2\, \widetilde{T}_4}{3} + 8\, t_8\, T_6 \right) \\
& - T_{12}\, T_8 - t_8\, \widetilde{T}_4\, T_8 + \frac{{T_{10}}^2}{3} + 2\, t_8\, T_{12} - \frac{2\, t_8\, T_{10}\, T_2}{3} + \frac{{t_8}^2\, {T_2}^2}{3} \\
& + 2{t_8}^2\, \widetilde{T}_4 + 2T_{14}\, T_2\, \widetilde{T}_4 + \frac{T_{12}\, (\widetilde{T}_4)^2}{4} + \frac{t_8\, (\widetilde{T}_4)^3}{4} + 4\, T_{14}\, T_6
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g =& \ 2\, \rho^5 + \rho^4 \left(\frac{T_2\, \widetilde{T}_4}{6} + T_6 \right) + \rho^3 \left(-4\, T_{12} + \frac{T_{10}\, T_2}{3} + \frac{5\, t_8\, {T_2}^2}{3} + \frac{22\, t_8\, \widetilde{T}_4}{3} + \frac{(\widetilde{T}_4)^3}{108} - \frac{\widetilde{T}_4\, T_8}{3} \right) \\
& + \rho^2 \Bigg(\frac{-34\, t_8\, T_{10}}{3} + \frac{10\, {t_8}^2\, T_2}{3} + T_{14}\, {T_2}^2 + \frac{16\, T_{14}\, \widetilde{T}_4}{3} - \frac{2T_{12}\, T_2\, \widetilde{T}_4}{3} + \frac{5\, T_{10}\, (\widetilde{T}_4)^2}{36} + \frac{31\, t_8\, T_2\, (\widetilde{T}_4)^2}{36} \\
& - 2\, T_{12}\, T_6 + \frac{10\, t_8\, \widetilde{T}_4\, T_6}{3} + \frac{T_{10}\, T_8}{3} + \frac{11\, t_8\, T_2\, T_8}{3} \Bigg) \\
& + \rho \Bigg(8\, {t_8}^3 + 2\, {T_{12}}^2 - \frac{16\, T_{10}\, T_{14}}{3} - \frac{T_{10}\, T_{12}\, T_2}{3} + \frac{4\, t_8\, T_{14}\, T_2}{3} + \frac{t_8\, T_{12}\, {T_2}^2}{3} - \frac{2{T_{10}}^2\, \widetilde{T}_4}{9} \\
& + \frac{10\, t_8\, T_{12}\, \widetilde{T}_4}{3} - \frac{23\, t_8\, T_{10}\, T_2\, \widetilde{T}_4}{9} + \frac{25\, {t_8}^2\, {T_2}^2\, \widetilde{T}_4}{9} + \frac{10\, {t_8}^2\, (\widetilde{T}_4)^2}{3} + \frac{5\, T_{14}\, T_2\, (\widetilde{T}_4)^2}{6} - \frac{T_{12}\, (\widetilde{T}_4)^3}{12} \\
& + \frac{t_8\, (\widetilde{T}_4)^4}{24} - \frac{16\, t_8\, T_{10}\, T_6}{3} + \frac{16\, {t_8}^2\, T_2\, T_6}{3} + \frac{8\, T_{14}\, \widetilde{T}_4\, T_6}{3} - 8\, {t_8}^2\, T_8 + 2\, T_{14}\, T_2\, T_8 \\
& + \frac{T_{12}\, \widetilde{T}_4\, T_8}{3} - \frac{2t_8\, (\widetilde{T}_4)^2\, T_8}{3} + 2\, t_8\, {T_8}^2 \Bigg) \\
& + \frac{2\, {T_{10}}^3}{27} + \frac{2\, t_8\, T_{10}\, T_{12}}{3} + 4\, {t_8}^2\, T_{14} - \frac{2\, t_8\, {T_{10}}^2\, T_2}{9} - \frac{2\, {t_8}^2\, T_{12}\, T_2}{3} + \frac{2\, {t_8}^2\, T_{10}\, {T_2}^2}{9} - \frac{2\, {t_8}^3\, {T_2}^3}{27} \\
& + \frac{2{t_8}^2\, T_{10}\, \widetilde{T}_4}{3} - \frac{2{t_8}^3\, T_2\, \widetilde{T}_4}{3} + \frac{{T_{12}}^2\, T_2\, \widetilde{T}_4}{2} - \frac{4T_{10}\, T_{14}\, T_2\, \widetilde{T}_4}{3} + \frac{4t_8\, T_{14}\, {T_2}^2\, \widetilde{T}_4}{3} + \frac{T_{10}\, T_{12}\, (\widetilde{T}_4)^2}{12} \\
& + t_8\, T_{14}\, (\widetilde{T}_4)^2 + \frac{11\, t_8\, T_{12}\, T_2\, (\widetilde{T}_4)^2}{12} + \frac{t_8\, T_{10}\, (\widetilde{T}_4)^3}{12} + \frac{5\, {t_8}^2\, T_2\, (\widetilde{T}_4)^3}{12} + \frac{T_{14}\, (\widetilde{T}_4)^4}{16} + {T_{12}}^2\, T_6 \\
& - \frac{8\, T_{10}\, T_{14}\, T_6}{3} + \frac{8\, t_8\, T_{14}\, T_2\, T_6}{3} + 2t_8\, T_{12}\, \widetilde{T}_4\, T_6 + {t_8}^2\, (\widetilde{T}_4)^2\, T_6 - \frac{T_{10}\, T_{12}\, T_8}{3} \\
& - 4\, t_8\, T_{14}\, T_8 + \frac{t_8\, T_{12}\, T_2\, T_8}{3} - \frac{t_8\, T_{10}\, \widetilde{T}_4\, T_8}{3} + \frac{{t_8}^2\, T_2\, \widetilde{T}_4\, T_8}{3} - \frac{T_{14}\, (\widetilde{T}_4)^2\, T_8}{2} + T_{14}\, {T_8}^2
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

where the $SO(16)$ invariants T_n, t_8 and $\widetilde{T}_4$ are described in the text.

The 240 poles occur at the 112 charged states in the adjoint of $SO(16)$ and the 128 states of a spinor representation. In finding the pole positions, it is convenient to expand the expression in powers of h_α, where $h_\alpha/(2\sqrt{2}\pi i)$ is the residue for that pole. For the adjoint case we find that $\tilde{x}_{ij} = h_{ij}^2 x_{ij}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x}_{ij} = &-\left(\rho + \frac{1}{2}m_i m_j \widetilde{W}_4 - w_6\right)^2 + h_{ij}^2\left(\frac{1}{3}\rho\widetilde{W}_4 - w_6\widetilde{W}_4 + \frac{2}{3}W_{10}\right. \\
&\qquad \left. + m_i m_j\left(\frac{2}{3}W_8 - \frac{1}{6}\rho W_2 + \frac{5}{6}W_2 w_6\right) + (m_i m_j)^2\left(\frac{4}{3}w_6 + \frac{2}{3}W_6 + \frac{1}{4}W_2\widetilde{W}_4\right)\right) \\
&- h_{ij}^4\left(\frac{1}{2}W_2 w_6 + \frac{1}{6}\rho W_2 + \frac{1}{3}W_8 + \frac{1}{12}m_i m_j(29w_6 - 5\rho) + \frac{1}{16}(m_i m_j)^2(W_2^2 + 2\widetilde{W}_4)\right) \\
&+ h_{ij}^6\left(\frac{3}{4}w_6 + \frac{1}{12}\rho + \frac{1}{16}(m_i m_j)^2 W_2\right) - \frac{1}{64}h_{ij}^8(m_i m_j)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

where $h_{ij} = (m_i + m_j)$ and the variables W_n satisfy

$$W_n = \sum_{\substack{i_1<..i_n \\ i\neq i_k\neq j}} m_{i_1}^2..m_{i_n}^2 \qquad\qquad \widetilde{W}_4 = \frac{1}{4}W_2^2 - W_4 \qquad\qquad w_6 = t_8/(m_i m_j) \tag{A.4}$$

We could also choose to change the sign of m_j in these expressions. When $x = x_{ij}$ then y^2 is a perfect square, with $\sqrt{-y_{ij}^2}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{-y_{ij}^2} = \\
&(\rho - w_6 + \frac{1}{2} m_i m_j \widetilde{W}_4)^3 - \frac{1}{8} h_{ij}^2 \left(\rho - w_6 + \frac{1}{2} m_i m_j \widetilde{W}_4\right) \times \\
&\quad \times \left(4\rho\widetilde{W}_4 + 8W_{10} - 12 w_6 \widetilde{W}_4 + 2m_im_j(4W_8 + 5W_2w_6 - \rho W_2) + (m_im_j)^2(3W_2\widetilde{W}_4 + 8W_6 + 16w_6)\right) \\
&+ \frac{1}{32} h_{ij}^4 \Bigg(16W_{10}\widetilde{W}_4 - 8w_6(\widetilde{W}_4)^2 - 8\rho^2 W_2 - 32w_6W_8 - 24W_2w_6^2 \\
&\qquad\qquad + m_im_j(12\rho^2 + 8\rho W_2\widetilde{W}_4 + 32\rho W_6 - 56\rho w_6 - 84w_6^2 - 24W_2\widetilde{W}_4w_6 - 24W_2W_{10} - 96w_6W_6 \\
&\qquad\qquad + (m_im_j)^2(-4\widetilde{W}_4\rho - 2W_2^2\rho + 20\widetilde{W}_4w_6 - 8W_2W_8 - 64W_{10} - 6W_2^2w_6) \\
&\qquad\qquad + (m_im_j)^3(3W_2^2\widetilde{W}_4 - 32W_8 + 8W_2W_6 + 3(\widetilde{W}_4)^2)\Bigg) \\
&+ \frac{1}{8} h_{ij}^6 \Bigg(3\rho^2 + 12\rho w_6 + 9w_6^2 + 2W_2\widetilde{W}_4w_6 + 8w_6W_6 + 2W_2W_{10} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} m_im_j(-\rho W_2^2 - 2\rho\widetilde{W}_4 + 3W_2^2w_6 + 6\widetilde{W}_4w_6 + 30W_{10}) + \frac{1}{2}(m_im_j)^2(3\rho W_2 + 7W_2w_6 - 6W_8) \\
&\qquad\qquad + \frac{1}{8}(m_im_j)^3(48w_6 - 8W_6 - W_2^3 - 6W_2\widetilde{W}_4)\Bigg) \\
&+ \frac{1}{128} h_{ij}^8 \Bigg(-48W_{10} - 8W_2^2w_6 - 16\widetilde{W}_4w_6 + 8m_im_j(\rho W_2 - 3W_2w_6) - 2(m_im_j)^2(5\rho + 19w_6) \\
&\qquad\qquad + 3(m_im_j)^3(W_2^2 + \widetilde{W}_4)\Bigg) \\
&+ \frac{1}{256} h_{ij}^{10}(16W_2w_6 - 4m_im_j(\rho - 3w_6) - 3(m_im_j)^3W_2) - \frac{1}{512} h_{ij}^{12}(8w_6 - (m_im_j)^3)
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.5}
$$

The spinor poles are found at $\tilde{x}_{sp} = h_{sp}^2 x_{sp}$, where $h_{sp} = s_1/2$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x}_{sp} = {} & -\tilde{\rho}^2 - s_1 s_5 \tilde{\rho} + \frac{1}{12} s_1^2(4\tilde{\rho}s_4 - s_5^2 - 8s_3s_7) + \frac{1}{12} s_1^3(-\tilde{\rho}s_3 + 2s_3s_6 + 6s_2s_7) \\
& + \frac{1}{24} s_1^4(\tilde{\rho}s_2 - 2s_2s_6 - 4s_8) - \frac{1}{8} s_1^5 s_7 - \frac{1}{96} s_1^6(\tilde{\rho} - 2s_6),
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.6}
$$

where s_n is the symmetric polynomial for eight masses of order n, linear in each m_i, and with an even number of m_i replaced with $-m_i$. The term $\tilde{\rho}$ is $\tilde{\rho} = \rho - s_6$. At the poles, y^2 is a perfect square, with $\tilde{y}_{sp} = y_{sp} h_{sp}^3$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{-\tilde{y}_{sp}^2} =& \tilde{\rho}^3 + \frac{2}{3} s_1 s_5 \tilde{\rho}^2 - \frac{1}{2} s_1^2 \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{\rho} s_4 - 2 s_3 s_7 - s_5^2) \\
&+ \frac{1}{8} s_1^3 (\tilde{\rho}^2 s_3 - 2\tilde{\rho}(s_3 s_6 + 3 s_2 s_7 + s_4 s_5) + 4 s_3 s_5 s_7) \\
&+ \frac{1}{8} s_1^4 (\tilde{\rho}(s_3 s_5 + 2 s_2 s_6 - 2 s_8) - 2 s_3 s_4 s_7 - 2 s_2 s_5 s_7 - 2 s_3^2 s_8 - 2 s_7^2) \\
&+ \frac{1}{16} s_1^5 (\tilde{\rho}(4 s_7 - s_2 s_5) + 6 s_2 s_3 s_8 + 2 s_2 s_4 s_7 + 2 s_6 s_7 - 2 s_5 s_8 + 2 s_3^2 s_7) \\
&+ \frac{1}{16} s_1^6 (-\tilde{\rho} s_6 - 2 s_2^2 s_8 + s_5 s_7 - 2 s_2 s_3 s_7) + \frac{1}{64} s_1^7 (\tilde{\rho} s_5 + 2 s_2^2 s_7 - 2 s_4 s_7 - 6 s_3 s_8) \\
&+ \frac{1}{32} s_1^8 (2 s_2 s_8 + s_3 s_7) - \frac{1}{64} s_1^9 s_2 s_7 - \frac{1}{128} s_1^{10} s_8 + \frac{1}{256} s_1^{11} s_7
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.7}
$$

Notice that in (A.3)-(A.7), when h_α is zero, then $\tilde{x}_\alpha$ divides $\tilde{y}_\alpha$.

Appendix B. E_7 Results

The E_7 curve is derived from the E_8 curve by setting $m_7 = \Lambda - \phi/2$ and $m_8 = \Lambda + \phi/2$ and then taking the limit $\Lambda \to \infty$. Scaling the other variables as described in the text, and keeping only the leading order terms, one finds

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{E_7} =& 2\,\rho^3 + \rho^2 \left(\frac{(\widetilde{T}_2)^2}{12} + T_4 \right) + \rho \left(8\,\phi^2\, t_6 + \frac{2\,\widetilde{T}_2\, t_6}{3} + \frac{2\,\widetilde{T}_2\, T_6}{3} - 2\, T_8 \right) \\
&+ 4\,\phi^2\, T_{10} - \frac{(\widetilde{T}_2)^3\, t_6}{4} + \widetilde{T}_2\, T_4\, t_6 + \frac{4\, {t_6}^2}{3} - \frac{4\, t_6\, T_6}{3} + \frac{{T_6}^2}{3} + \frac{(\widetilde{T}_2)^2\, T_8}{4} - T_4\, T_8
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{E_7} ={}& \rho^4 \left(\phi^2 + \frac{2\,\widetilde{T}_2}{3}\right) + \rho^3 \left(\frac{-(\widetilde{T}_2)^3}{108} + \frac{\widetilde{T}_2\,T_4}{3} + \frac{20\,t_6}{3} + \frac{2\,T_6}{3}\right) \\
&+ \rho^2 \Bigg(4\,T_{10} - \frac{10\,\phi^2\,\widetilde{T}_2\,t_6}{3} - \frac{29\,(\widetilde{T}_2)^2\,t_6}{18} + \frac{22\,T_4\,t_6}{3} + \frac{5\,(\widetilde{T}_2)^2\,T_6}{36} + \frac{T_4\,T_6}{3} \\
&- 2\,\phi^2\,T_8 - \frac{2\,\widetilde{T}_2\,T_8}{3}\Bigg) \\
&+ \rho\,\Bigg(\frac{-8\,\phi^2\,\widetilde{T}_2\,T_{10}}{3} - (\widetilde{T}_2)^2\,T_{10} + 4\,T_{10}\,T_4 + \frac{(\widetilde{T}_2)^4\,t_6}{24} - \frac{2\,(\widetilde{T}_2)^2\,T_4\,t_6}{3} \\
&+ 2\,{T_4}^2\,t_6 + \frac{32\,\phi^2\,{t_6}^2}{3} - \frac{4\,\widetilde{T}_2\,{t_6}^2}{9} - \frac{16\,\phi^2\,t_6\,T_6}{3} - \frac{2\,\widetilde{T}_2\,t_6\,T_6}{9} \\
&+ \frac{2\,\widetilde{T}_2\,{T_6}^2}{9} + \frac{(\widetilde{T}_2)^3\,T_8}{12} - \frac{\widetilde{T}_2\,T_4\,T_8}{3} + \frac{4\,t_6\,T_8}{3} - \frac{2\,T_6\,T_8}{3}\Bigg) \\
&+ \frac{(\widetilde{T}_2)^4\,T_{10}}{16} - \frac{(\widetilde{T}_2)^2\,T_{10}\,T_4}{2} + T_{10}\,{T_4}^2 + \frac{16\,\phi^2\,T_{10}\,t_6}{3} + \phi^2\,(\widetilde{T}_2)^2\,{t_6}^2 \\
&+ \frac{(\widetilde{T}_2)^3\,{t_6}^2}{6} - \frac{2\,\widetilde{T}_2\,T_4\,{t_6}^2}{3} - \frac{16\,{t_6}^3}{27} - \frac{8\,\phi^2\,T_{10}\,T_6}{3} - \frac{(\widetilde{T}_2)^3\,t_6\,T_6}{12} \\
&+ \frac{\widetilde{T}_2\,T_4\,t_6\,T_6}{3} + \frac{8\,{t_6}^2\,T_6}{9} - \frac{4\,t_6\,{T_6}^2}{9} + \frac{2\,{T_6}^3}{27} - 2\,\phi^2\,\widetilde{T}_2\,t_6\,T_8 - \frac{(\widetilde{T}_2)^2\,t_6\,T_8}{6} \\
&+ \frac{2\,T_4\,t_6\,T_8}{3} + \frac{(\widetilde{T}_2)^2\,T_6\,T_8}{12} - \frac{T_4\,T_6\,T_8}{3} + \phi^2\,{T_8}^2
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.2}
$$

where $\widetilde{T}_2 = T_2 - \phi^2$. The variables T_n now refer to invariants of $SO(12)$. t_6 is the product of six masses.

The E_8 representation splits into an adjoint and two fundamentals of E_7. The E_7 adjoint is made up of an $SO(12)$ adjoint, spinor and singlet, where the spinor and singlet are charged under the $SU(2)$. The poles for the $SO(12)$ adjoint, whose residues are $h_{ij} = m_i + m_j$ (plus sign permutations) divided by the usual factor of $2\sqrt{2}\pi i$, satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x}_{ij,7} = &-\left(\rho - w_4 - \frac{1}{2}m_i m_j \widetilde{W}_2\right)^2 + h_{ij}^2\bigg(-\frac{1}{3}\rho\widetilde{W}_2 + \widetilde{W}_2 w_4 + \frac{2}{3}W_6 + \frac{1}{3}m_i m_j(-\rho + 2W_4 + 5w_4) \\
&+ \frac{1}{6}(m_i m_j)^2(\widetilde{W}_2 + 4\phi^2)\bigg) + h_{ij}^4\left(-\frac{1}{3}\rho - \frac{1}{3}W_4 - w_4 - \frac{1}{4}(m_i m_j)^2\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.3}
$$

where W_n and w_4 refer to the $SO(8)$ invariants transverse to the i and j directions and where $\widetilde{W}_2 = W_2 - \phi^2$. The $\tilde{y}_{ij}$ for these poles are

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{-\tilde{y}_{ij}^2} =& \left(\rho - w_4 - \frac{1}{2}m_i m_j \widetilde{W}_2\right)^3 - \frac{1}{4}h_{ij}^2 \left(\rho - w_4 - \frac{1}{2}m_i m_j \widetilde{W}_2\right) \times \\ & \times \Big(-2\rho\widetilde{W}_2 + 6\widetilde{W}_2 w_4 + 4W_6 + m_i m_j(-2\rho + 4W_4 + 10w_4) + (m_i m_j)^2(\widetilde{W}_2 + 4\phi^2)\Big) \\ & - \frac{1}{8}h_{ij}^4 \Big(4\rho^2 + 8w_4 W_4 + 12w_4^2 + 4\widetilde{W}_2 W_6 + 2\widetilde{W}_2^2 w_4 \\ & + 4m_i m_j(-\rho\widetilde{W}_2 - 2\rho\phi^2 + 3\widetilde{W}_2 w_4 + 6\phi^2 w_4 + 3W_6) \\ & + 2(m_i m_j)^2(\rho^2 + 3w_4 + W_4) - (m_i m_j)^3(\widetilde{W}_2 + 4\phi^2)\Big) \\ & \frac{1}{8}h_{ij}^6\Big(4w_4\widetilde{W}_2 + 8w_4\phi^2 + 4W_6 + 2m_i m_j(-\rho + 3w_4) - (m_i m_j)^3\Big) - \frac{1}{4}h_{ij}^8 w_4.\end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

The $SO(12)$ singlet pole position, $x_7 = \tilde{x}_7/h^2$, where $h = \phi$, is given by

$$\tilde{x}_7 = -\left(\rho - \frac{1}{8}(\widetilde{T}_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}T_4\right)^2 + \frac{1}{3}\phi^2(\rho\widetilde{T}_2 + T_6 - 2t_6) \tag{B.5}$$

and $\tilde{y}_7$ is

$$\sqrt{-\tilde{y}_7^2} = \left(\rho - \frac{1}{8}(\widetilde{T}_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}T_4\right)^3 - \phi^2\left(\rho - \frac{1}{8}(\widetilde{T}_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}T_4\right)(\rho\widetilde{T}_2 + T_6 - 2t_6) - \phi^4(\rho^2 + \widetilde{T}_2 t_6 - T_8). \tag{B.6}$$

Because of the manner in which E_7 was reached from E_8, the $SO(12)$ spinor that is part of the E_7 adjoint has an odd number of minus signs. The residue is $h_{sp}/(2\sqrt{2}\pi i)$, with $h_{sp} = (S_1 - \phi)/2$, and where S_1 is the sum of $SO(12)$ masses with an odd number of minus signs. It is straightforward to find the pole position from (A.6), with the result

$$\begin{aligned}x_{sp,7} =& -\tilde{\rho}^2 + 2h_{sp}\tilde{\rho}S_3 - \frac{1}{3}h_{sp}^2(4\tilde{\rho}S_2 + S_3^2 + 8S_5\phi) + \frac{2}{3}h_{sp}^3(\tilde{\rho}\phi + 2S_4\phi - 2S_5) \\ & + \frac{2}{3}h_{sp}^4(\tilde{\rho} + 2S_4),\end{aligned} \tag{B.7}$$

where the S_n are symmetric polynomials in the m_i but with an odd number of m_i replaced by $-m_i$ and the term $\tilde{\rho}$ is $\tilde{\rho} = \rho + S_4$ The value for y at such a pole, $\tilde{y}_{sp,7}$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{-\tilde{y}_{sp,7}^2} =& \tilde{\rho}^3 - 3h_{sp}\tilde{\rho}^2 S_3 + 2h_{sp}^2\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{\rho}S_2 + S_3^2 + 2S_5\phi) - h_{sp}^3\Big(\tilde{\rho}^2\phi + 4S_3S_5\phi \\ & + 2\tilde{\rho}(S_2S_3 + S_4\phi - 2S_5)\Big) - 2h_{sp}^4\Big(\tilde{\rho}^2 - \tilde{\rho}S_3\phi + 2S_3S_5 - 2S_2S_5\phi - 2S_6\phi^2\Big) \\ & + 2h_{sp}^5(\tilde{\rho}Q_3 + 2S_2S_5 + 2S_6\phi - 2S_5\phi^2) - 8h_{sp}^6 S_5\phi - 4h_{sp}^7 S_5.\end{aligned} \tag{B.8}$$

The E_7 fundamental has an $SO(12)$ vector and the other spinor. The vector poles are at

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i,7} =& \rho \left(\frac{5\,{m_i}^2}{3} + 2\,m_i\,\phi + \frac{\phi^2}{3} - \frac{W_2}{3} \right) - \frac{{m_i}^6}{4} + \frac{2\,m_i\,w_5}{3} - {m_i}^5\,\phi + 2\,w_5\,\phi - \frac{3\,{m_i}^4\,\phi^2}{2} - {m_i}^3\,\phi^3 \\ & - \frac{{m_i}^2\,\phi^4}{4} + \frac{{m_i}^4\,W_2}{2} + {m_i}^3\,\phi\,W_2 + \frac{{m_i}^2\,\phi^2\,W_2}{2} - \frac{{m_i}^2\,{W_2}^2}{4} + \frac{2\,{m_i}^2\,W_4}{3} - \frac{W_6}{3} \end{aligned} \tag{B.9}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-y_{i,7}^2} =& (\phi+2z_i)\rho^2 + \left(2w_5 + W_4 z_i - \frac{1}{4}\left((\phi+z_i)^2 - W_2\right)^2 - z_i^2(\phi+z_i)\left((\phi+z_i)^2 - W_2\right) \right)\rho \\ & + \frac{1}{8} z_i^3 \left((\phi+z_i)^2 - W_2\right)^3 - z_i^3 W_4 \left((\phi+z_i)^2 - W_2\right) \\ & - w_5 \left(((\phi+z_i)^2 - R_2)^2 + 2z_i^2(\phi+z_i)\right) + W_6 \phi z_i^2 - W_8 \phi \end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

The spinor poles are at

$$x_{sp',7} = \rho \left(\frac{{s_1}^2}{6} + \frac{2\,s_2}{3} - \frac{\phi^2}{6} \right) - \frac{{s_3}^2}{3} - \frac{{s_1}^2\,s_4}{2} + \frac{2\,s_2\,s_4}{3} + \frac{4\,s_1\,s_5}{3} - \frac{8\,s_6}{3} + \frac{s_4\,\phi^2}{2} \tag{B.11}$$

where s_n are symmetric polynomials with an even number of minus signs. The y values at the poles are

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-y_{sp,7}^2} =& \rho^2\,s_1 + \rho \left(+2\,s_5 + \frac{s_3\,\phi^2}{2} - \frac{\left({s_1}^2\,s_3\right)}{2} \right) + \frac{{s_1}^2\,s_3\,s_4}{2} - s_1\,{s_4}^2 + \frac{{s_1}^4\,s_5}{4} \\ & - {s_1}^2\,s_2\,s_5 + 2\,s_4\,s_5 - {s_1}^3\,s_6 + 4\,s_1\,s_2\,s_6 - 4\,s_3\,s_6 - \frac{s_3\,s_4\,\phi^2}{2} - \frac{{s_1}^2\,s_5\,\phi^2}{2} \\ & + s_2\,s_5\,\phi^2 + s_1\,s_6\,\phi^2 + \frac{s_5\,\phi^4}{4} \end{aligned} \tag{B.12}$$

Notice that in (B.10) and (B.12), the coefficient in front of the ρ^2 term is twice h_α.

Appendix C. E_6 Results

The E_6 curve is reached by letting $m_6 = \Lambda$ and $\phi = \Lambda - 6\lambda$, while scaling $x \to x\Lambda^2$, $y \to y\Lambda^3$ and $\rho \to \rho\Lambda$. The resulting expressions for f and g are

$$\begin{aligned} f_{E_6} =& \rho^2\,\left(12\,\lambda^2 + T_2\right) + \rho\,\left(8\,\lambda\,T_4 + 8\,t_5\right) \\ & + 36\,\lambda^2\,T_6 - T_2\,T_6 + 4\,T_8 + t_5 \frac{{T_4}^2}{3} - 432\,\lambda^3 + 12\,\lambda\,T_2\,t_5 \end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{E_6} =&\rho^4 + \rho^3\,\left(-16\,\lambda^3 + 4\,\lambda\,T_2\right) + \rho^2\,\left(20\,\lambda^2\,T_4 + \frac{T_2\,T_4}{3} - 40\,\lambda\,t_5 - 2\,T_6\right) \\
&+ \rho\left(\frac{8\,\lambda\,{T_4}^2}{3} + 864\,\lambda^4\,t_5 - 96\,\lambda^2\,T_2\,t_5 + 2\,{T_2}^2\,t_5 - \frac{16\,T_4\,t_5}{3} + 144\,\lambda^3\,T_6\right. \\
&\left. - 4\,\lambda\,T_2\,T_6 - 32\,\lambda\,T_8\right) \\
&+ \frac{2\,{T_4}^3}{27} - 144\,\lambda^3\,T_4\,t_5 + 4\,\lambda\,T_2\,T_4\,t_5 + 144\,\lambda^2\,{t_5}^2 + 12\,\lambda^2\,T_4\,T_6 - \frac{T_2\,T_4\,T_6}{3} \\
&- 24\,\lambda\,t_5\,T_6 + {T_6}^2 + 1296\,\lambda^4\,T_8 - 72\,\lambda^2\,T_2\,T_8 + {T_2}^2\,T_8 - \frac{8\,T_4\,T_8}{3}
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.2}
$$

The E_6 adjoint is made up of the $SO(10)$ adjoint and two spinors. The spinors are charged under the $U(1)$, with the separate spinor representations having opposite charges. The $SO(10)$ adjoint poles are positioned at $\tilde{x}_{ij,6} = x_{ij,6}h_{ij}$, where $h_{ij} = m_i + m_j$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{x}_{ij,6} =& -\left(\rho - 6m_im_j\lambda - w_3\right)^2 + \frac{2}{3}h_{ij}^2\Big(-6\lambda(\rho - 3w_3) + W_4 + m_im_jW_2 + (m_im_j)^2\Big) \\
&\qquad - \frac{1}{3}h_{ij}^4W_2,
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.3}
$$

where W_n and w_3 are the $SO(6)$ invariants orthogonal to i and j. At these points, $\tilde{y}_{ij,6}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{-\tilde{y}_{ij,6}^2} =& \left(\rho - 6m_im_j\lambda - w_3\right)^3 + h_{ij}^2\left(\rho - 6m_im_j\lambda - w_3\right)\times \\
&\qquad \times\Big(6\lambda(\rho - 3w_3) - W_4 - m_im_jW_2 - (m_im_j)^2\Big) \\
&\qquad - h_{ij}^4\Big(w_3(W_2 + 36\lambda^2) + 6\lambda W_4 - m_im_j(\rho - 3w_3)\Big) + h_{ij}^6w_3
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.4}
$$

The SO(10) spinors in the E_6 adjoint have poles at $xh_{sp}^2 = \tilde{x}_{sp,6}$, where $h_{sp} = S_1/2 + 3\lambda$ and

$$
x_{sp,6} = -\tilde{\rho}^2 + 2h_{sp}\tilde{\rho}S_2 + h_{sp}^2\left(8\tilde{\rho}\lambda - 6\lambda S_3 - \frac{1}{3}S_2^2 - \frac{8}{3}S_4\right) - \frac{2}{3}h_{sp}^3(3\tilde{\rho} - 2S_3) \tag{C.5}
$$

where the S_n are the symmetric polynomials over the five m_i of $SO(10)$ but with an odd number of m_i replaced with $-m_i$. The variable $\tilde{\rho}$ is $\tilde{\rho} = \rho + S_3$. At these poles, $\tilde{y}_{sp} = yh_{sp}^3$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{-\tilde{y}_{sp,6}^2} =&\tilde{\rho}^3 - 3h_{sp}S_2\tilde{\rho}^2 - 2h_{sp}^2\tilde{\rho}(6\tilde{\rho}\lambda - S_2^2 - 2S_4) \\
&+ h_{sp}^3(\tilde{\rho}(3\tilde{\rho} - 2S_3) + 12\tilde{\rho}\lambda S_2 - 4S_2S_4) + 2h_{sp}^4(-\tilde{\rho}S_2 - 12\lambda S_4 + 2S_5).
\end{aligned}
\tag{C.6}
$$

The E_6 fundamental poles were given in [2], which we repeat here for convenience. The $SO(10)$ vector pole positions and values for y are

$$x_{i,6} =\rho\,(-4\,\lambda + 2\,m_i) - 36\,\lambda^2\,{m_i}^2 + 12\,\lambda\,{m_i}^3 - {m_i}^4 + 2\,w_4 + \frac{2\,{m_i}^2\,W_2}{3} - \frac{W_4}{3} \tag{C.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{-y_{i,6}^2} =&\rho^2 + \rho\,\left(-36\,\lambda^2\,m_i + 24\,\lambda\,{m_i}^2 - 3\,{m_i}^3 + m_i\,W_2\right) - 216\,\lambda^3\,{m_i}^3 + 108\,\lambda^2\,{m_i}^4\\ &- 18\,\lambda\,{m_i}^5 + {m_i}^6 - 36\,\lambda^2\,w_4 + 12\,\lambda\,m_i\,w_4 - 3\,{m_i}^2\,w_4 + 6\,\lambda\,{m_i}^3\,W_2\\ &- {m_i}^4\,W_2 + w_4\,W_2 + {m_i}^2\,W_4 - W_6\end{aligned} \tag{C.8}$$

where W_n and w_4 are the $SO(8)$ invariants orthogonal to i. The residues are $h_i = m_i - 2\lambda$ divided by $2\sqrt{2}\pi i$. The spinor poles satisfy

$$x_{sp',6} =\rho\,\left(2\,\lambda + s_1\right) - \frac{{s_2}^2}{3} - 6\,\lambda\,s_3 - \frac{s_1\,s_3}{3} + \frac{4\,s_4}{3} \tag{C.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{-y_{sp',6}^2} =&\rho^2 + \rho\,\left(-6\,\lambda\,s_2 - s_1\,s_2\right) + 6\,\lambda\,s_2\,s_3 + s_1\,s_2\,s_3 - {s_3}^2 + 36\,\lambda^2\,s_4\\ &- {s_1}^2\,s_4 - 12\,\lambda\,s_5 + 2\,s_1\,s_5\end{aligned} \tag{C.10}$$

where s_n are symmetric polynomials with an *even* number of $-$ signs. The residues are $(s_1/2 + \lambda)/(2\sqrt{2}\pi i)$. Finally, the $SO(10)$ singlet, with residue $4\lambda/(2\sqrt{2}\pi i)$ has poles at

$$x_{s,6} = 8\,\lambda\,\rho - 324\,\lambda^4 + 18\,\lambda^2\,T_2 - \frac{{T_2}^2}{4} + \frac{2\,T_4}{3} \tag{C.11}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{-y_{s,6}^2} =&\rho^2 + 5832\,\lambda^6 - 486\,\lambda^4\,T_2 + \frac{27\,\lambda^2\,{T_2}^2}{2} - \frac{{T_2}^3}{8} + \rho\,\left(-216\,\lambda^3 + 6\,\lambda\,T_2\right)\\ &- 18\,\lambda^2\,T_4 + \frac{T_2\,T_4}{2} + 12\,\lambda\,T_5 - T_6\end{aligned} \tag{C.12}$$

Appendix D. D_4 Results

For the D_4 case, the appropriate scaling is $\lambda = -c_1\Lambda/6$, $m_5 = -c_2\Lambda$, $\rho = u\Lambda$, $x \to x\Lambda^2$, and $y \to y\Lambda^3$, where c_1 and c_2 are defined in [1]. Keeping the leading order in Λ, the f and g terms reduce to

$$\begin{aligned}f_{D_4} =&\left(\frac{{c_1}^2}{3} + {c_2}^2\right)\,u^2 - \frac{4\,c_1\,{c_2}^2\,T_2\,u}{3} - 2\,{c_1}^3\,c_2\,t_4 + 2\,c_1\,{c_2}^3\,t_4 + \frac{{c_2}^4\,{T_2}^2}{3}\\ &+ {c_1}^2\,{c_2}^2\,T_4 - {c_2}^4\,T_4\end{aligned} \tag{D.1}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{D_4} =& \left(\frac{2\,{c_1}^3}{27} - \frac{2\,c_1\,{c_2}^2}{3}\right)\,u^3 + \left(\frac{5\,{c_1}^2\,{c_2}^2\,T_2}{9} + \frac{{c_2}^4\,T_2}{3}\right)\,u^2 \\
&+ \left(\frac{-2\,{c_1}^4\,c_2\,t_4}{3} + \frac{8\,{c_1}^2\,{c_2}^3\,t_4}{3} - 2\,{c_2}^5\,t_4 - \frac{4\,c_1\,{c_2}^4\,{T_2}^2}{9} - \frac{2\,{c_1}^3\,{c_2}^2\,T_4}{3} + \frac{2\,c_1\,{c_2}^4\,T_4}{3}\right)\,u \\
&- \frac{2\,{c_1}^3\,{c_2}^3\,t_4\,T_2}{3} + \frac{2\,c_1\,{c_2}^5\,t_4\,T_2}{3} + \frac{2\,{c_2}^6\,{T_2}^3}{27} + \frac{{c_1}^2\,{c_2}^4\,T_2\,T_4}{3} - \frac{{c_2}^6\,T_2\,T_4}{3} \\
&+ {c_1}^4\,{c_2}^2\,T_6 - 2\,{c_1}^2\,{c_2}^4\,T_6 + {c_2}^6\,T_6.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.2}
$$

The T_n and t_4 are $SO(8)$ invariants. The pole positions for the $SO(8)$ adjoint, with $h_{ij} = \pm m_i \pm m_j$ satisfy

$$
\tilde{x}_{ij,4} = -\left(u + c_2 w_2 + c_1 m_i m_j\right)^2 + \frac{2}{3} h_{ij}^2 (c_1 u + c_2^2 W_2 + 3 c_1 c_2 W_2 + c_2^2 m_i m_j) - \frac{1}{3} c_2^2 h_{ij}^4, \tag{D.3}
$$

where W_2 and w_2 refer to the $SO(4)$ invariants transverse to the i and j directions. The values of $\sqrt{-\tilde{y}_{ij,4}^2}$ at these poles are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{-\tilde{y}_{ij,4}^2} =& (u + c_2 w_2 + c_1 m_i m_j)^3 - h_{ij}^2 (u + c_2 w_2 + c_1 m_i m_j)(c_1 u + c_2^2 W_2 + 3 c_1 c_2 W_2 + c_2^2 m_i m_j) \\
&+ h_{ij}^4 c_2 (c_1 c_2 W_2 + (c_1^2 + c_2^2) w_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{D.4}
$$

References

[1] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Monopoles, Duality and Chiral Symmetry Breaking in* $N = 2$ *Supersymmetric QCD*, **hep-th/9408099**, *Nucl. Phys.* **B431** (1994) 484.

[2] J. Minahan and D. Nemeschansky, An N=2 Superconformal Fixed Point with E_6 Global Symmetry, **hep-th/9608047.**

[3] D. Morrison and C. Vafa, Compactifications of F-Theory on Calabi–Yau Threefolds, **hep-th/9602114, hep-th/9603161.**

[4] A. Klemm, P. Mayr and C. Vafa, BPS States of Exceptional Non-Critical Strings, **hep-th/9607139.**

[5] W. Lerche and N. Warner, Exceptional SW Geometry from ALE Fibrations, **hep-th/9608183**

[6] N. Seiberg, Five Dimensional SUSY Field Theories, Non-trivial Fixed Points and String Dynamics, **hep-th/9608111.**

[7] O. Ganor, Toroidal Compactification of Heterotic 6D Non-Critical Strings Down to Four Dimensions, **hep-th/9608109**.

[8] M. Douglas, S. Katz and C. Vafa, Small Instantons, del Pezzo Surfaces and Type I' theory, **hep-th/9609071.**

[9] D. Morrison and N. Seiberg, Extremal Transitions and Five-Dimensional Supersymmetric Field Theories, **hep-th/9609070.**

[10] C. Vafa, *Evidence for F-Theory,* **hep-th/9602022**, *Nucl. Phys.* **B469** (1996) 403.

[11] A. Sen, *F-Theory and Orientifolds*, **hep-th/9605150**.

[12] T. Banks, M. Douglas and N. Seiberg, Probing F-theory With Branes, **hep-th/9605199.**

[13] K. Dasgupta and S. Mukhi, *F-Theory at Constant Coupling*, **hep-th/9606044.**

[14] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, (Springer, New York, 1974)

[15] C. Itzykson, *Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. B* **8** (1994), 3725.

[16] J. Minahan, D. Nemeschansky and N. Warner, to appear